Thinking Otherwise

History has to be rewritten by each generation. Even if the facts are the states elant on the facts will be different

Cornell hist Tw 0.252 0 T-T3.6 (Tw 0.252 0 Tn)]TJ 004 Tw52 06.91(Co)-50.002 Tc 0.804 Tw 0.852 0 Td 1(Co)-50.002 Tc 0.804 Tw 0.80

his seminars on Cold War diplomacaynd, occasionallyto his rendition of the US survey pitched to firstyear students. The secret to his success the classroom was not very complicated. Walkept his lectures focused on the forest rather than the trees, were wavered in challenging and setting high expectations for his students, and he radiated a kindness and a humility that made hinextraordinarily approachable

Undergraduates and PhD students were not the only Cornellians enthralled by Walt LaFeber. As early as the m1960s faculty colleagues across campus admired his leadership and respected his commitment to principle. From the 1970s through 90s and beyond, deans provosts and presidents ought his counselend trustees were astonished by his commitment to the university. Yet although Walt was hopelessly devoted to Cornell, he remained, if st and foremost, a historian ho had no interest what so ever the coming an administrator, as he once made very clear with his trademark sense of humbern DAMe. Corson became President in 1969, I. told someone I thought so highly of Dale that I'd help

"It is difficult to hold a chair at Cornell artode a radical—at least some times. On the other hand, I'm not about to go into anonastery to prove a point."

As David Langbart makes clear in

The final six chapters of this volume highlight our second goal, to add/alssr LaFeber's wide-

colonies in the Caribbean and the Far East during the late 1890s signed ted sition from continental to transoceanic expansion compelling new interpretive framework that earned him the American Historical Associations Albert J. Beveridge Prize in 1963.

In his lectures and in his writings on the antebellum period, LaFeber traced this expansionist impulse back several generations to James Madison, the "Father of the Constitution," who argued ithe 1780s that the most effective solution to the political challenges facing the new republic was to "extend the sphere." Then LaFeber turned the spotlight on his hero, John Quincy Adams, who as secretary of state in 1823 persuaded the presidend has ser promulgate the Monroe Doctrine, a geopolitical blueprint for a rising American empire that would take on increasingly theological overtones from the 1890s to the 1940s and betweend. "not been able to discover how doctrine became a term in length for policy, but it is clear that it has an overweight religious component that makes it central to understanding US foreign policy—and why Americans support it," LaFeber confessed four decades after the publication of The New Empire. "It began when dometrinst appeared during the "Great Awakening and took off from there—until now, every President has to be certified American by having a doctrine."

Having reframed the traditional narrative of the US collision with Spain during the 1890s, LaFeber turned his attention to tbe collision with the Soviet Union on Harry Truman's watch. Chapter Five, "Reconstructing the Back Stoty," Frank Costiglioland Jeffrey Engehot only reveals how LaFeber came to writenerica, Russia, and the Cold Wais most widely read book, but also uncovers the evolution of reat historian's thinking in response to the shifting relationship and intensifying rivalry between the superpowee Juggling the elativism of Carl Becker, the realism of George Kennan, and the revision for the superpower of the superpower of the revision of the superpower of the superpower of the realism of George Kennan, and the revision for the superpower of the super

soughtto solve a riddle posed by Reinhold Niebuhr: Was the Cold War a Greek tragedy of inevitability or a Christian traggod possibility? Through ten editions, he would spend forty years refining his answer, adding new research, while preserving a crisp, concise analysis of the evolving SovietAmerican rivalry that would be read by thousands and thousands of students Dismissing those who framed the Cold War astong peacethat never saw America and Russia fire shots in anger at each other, he emphasized the terrible human costs that the superpowers inflicted on ordinary men and women after the Cold War spilleintovAsia, Africa, the Middle East, and Latin America.

A year after the sixth edition of America, Russia, and the Cold War appeared in 1988, LaFeber

21st century, LaFeber fæed that anew cold war with Russians inevitable not only because of Vladimir Putin's determination to reverse whome Russian autocratum as the greatest tragedy of the 20th century—the demise of the Soviet Union—but also because of the Soviet domains

Readers of Chapterix, "Thinking about Democracy," will not be surprised to learn from Lorena Oropeza and James Siekmthiet inevitability was also the central theoreta Feber's most controversial book. Inevitable Revolutiones published in 1983, just as Washington was escalating its noto-secret covert war against homing insurgents in Central Americanhom the Reagan administration claimed were baninspired and Sovietontrolled. Vigorously rejecting that claim, LaFeber argued that the turmoil in Nicara followable alvador and their neighbors as merely the latest episode in American enturies old quest for hegemony over its Latin neighbors Driven by security concerns in the Caribbean, economic interest for matternal to Chile, and reflexive anticommunism, upolicies and actions had produced not democracy but "neo-dependency," abrutal and exploitative ystem that would cost thousands of lives in Central America during the 1980s

In many ways, Inevitable Revolutions as a bookend to the story LaFeber had begun tell in The New Empire. Race figured much more prominently in his analysis of the 1980s than in his account of the 1890s, something that reflected a field in transition, with diplomatic historians focusing less on the white men who controlled US foreign policy and more on the people of colombo were on the receiving end of American hegemony. Bechaers the able Revolutions sold well and was widely adopted for classroom use, LaFeber became a lightning rod for supporters of Reagan's anticommunist crusade in Central America, transforming him briefly into an embattled public intellectual, a role in which he was never comfort blick

abiding faith in democracy, however, never wavered, notwithstarnidingwing critics erroneously branding him a Marxist.

Evenas he was chronicling the carnage in Central America, LaFelsefTwening to Asia," where, as Anne Foster and Andrew Rottighlight in Chapter Seven, he prophesied that deepening rivalrieacross the Pacific

Our last chapter, Confronting the Tocqueville Proble haddresses Walter LaFeber's careerlong preoccupation with the durability of the perican experiment Eric Altermanand Richard Immerman presents final book, The Deadly Betas a timeless but underappreciated political allegory featuring heroes and villains during the annus horrid 1968. The Deadly Bet was published in 2005 at the very moment that the United States was sinking ever deeper into quicks and on the Euphrates as a result of a disastrous policy that evoked memories of an earlier quagmire on the Mekonghis succinct book is LaFeber's most explicit commentar on the political opportunism, and other domestic pathologiese teacher and citizen cholar shared Alexis de Tocqueville's conviction that democracy was not compatible with empire, and he feared that the fallout from the 9/11 attacks might be worndthedegacy of the Vietnam War.

 Union? Have Reagenmisguided policies in Central America createnchesolvable problem along the southern border, where thousands of refugees continue to flee political evitateing from the 1980s? Can the United States to Asia without triggering another clash, this time not with Japan but with China? Can US policymakers find ways to harness neoliberal globalization fueled by technological innovation and prevent the free market mantra from triggering trade wars, financial instability, and an Antierican backlash Will 2024 bring another annus horribilisar worse than one in 1968? Is an empire for liberty an oxymoron?

Walter LaFeber has left it to us to provide the answers, and the fate of American democracy hangs in the balan By. paying homage to him and his scholarship, this volume explores these questions ven if it does not claim to answer them. Despite always thinking otherwise, LaFeber himself could not do that. But reading his books and revisitleg tures requires us to ask

Endnotes

¹ Becker to William Dodd, January 27, 1932, in Michael Kammet/Mead. Is the Good of History? Selected Letters of Carl L. Becker, 4199405 (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1,91756).

² LaFeber as quoted in Jenny Proctor, "One Role of a ProfessoFhink Otherwise," Says LaFeber," Cornell Chronic (October 18, 2010) https://news.cornell.edu/stories/2010/10/renownantofessortalks-beineprofessor

³ LaFebeemailto Douglas Little, May, 2002.

⁴ Daniel Immerwahil, low to Hide an Empire: A History of the Greater United **\$Nete**sYork: Farrar, Straus, & Giroux, 2019).

⁵ Eisenhower, "Address to the American People," January 17, https://www.archives.gov/milestone documents/president/wight-d-eisenhowers/arewell-address

⁶ LaFeber to David Langbart, Januari,980.

⁷ LaFebeemailto Douglas Little, Jur**5**e 2003.

⁸ See, for example, John Lewis Gaddis, Long Peace: Inquiries into the History of the Coland Pear York: Oxford University Press, 1987).

⁹ LaFeber to Douglas Little, Septembe 24,1989.

¹⁰ LaFeber email to Susan Brewer, August 2020.