

Commercial Real Estate

We aim to put some of these into broader context.

BY MARK C. ROBERTS

Everyone from members of the media to retail participants has been keeping a watchful eye on the U.S. economy, trying to decipher if a recession is imminent or avoidable. Recent negative headlines regarding household finances, bank loan activity, and the primary sectors of commercial real estate paint a bearish picture and might cause concern for some investors. But the headlines are often alarmist, and the data used to support them can lack proper context or a balanced perspective. Digging deeper into the data reveals that there's more to the story.

There are three types of lies: lies, damned lies, and statistics.

“ ”

MARK TWAIN

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

Consumer debt has grown to record levels, but household assets have grown, too – and debt as a percentage of assets has declined.

Across all households, debt as a share of total assets is significantly lower compared to history.

Given the strength of the fundamentals in apartments, industrial, and even retail, investors can discount the risks in these sectors as implied in the headlines.

The office market is challenged in certain buildings and locations. Yet even assuming a worst-case scenario of building values dropping -50%, it implies loan losses of only 0.5% of banks' total assets.

In the aggregate, the banking sector appears reasonably well capitalized, and commercial real estate risks seem concentrated in certain regions and across certain classes of buildings in the office market.

PRESENTED BY



Household Debt

Money Watch recently shared the following about the record-setting level of household debt:

As Exhibit 1 indicates, both statements are true, but they lack context. With a growing population, employing more people and a greater number of households each year, debt should continue to reach highs every year absent a recession. Importantly, that's only one side of the household debt coin in the

As for savings, households were building back their savings even before the COVID-19 stimulus packages. At the start of the GFC in 2008, households had a little more than \$500 billion in savings. That amount tripled to a little more than \$1.5 trillion by year-end 2019, it doubled again to more than \$3 trillion during COVID-19, and it has since fallen back to \$2.4 trillion.

Some might argue that total household savings grew because the number of households grew. That's partially true, as the number of households grew 0.8% annually from 2008 to 2021, and total savings increased 11.5% a year. Still, savings per household increased 10.6% per year.

As the pandemic unfolded, there was a tsunami in tenant demand for apartments and warehouse space. With supply-chain issues and low unemployment, developers struggled to deliver the supply needed to meet this surge in demand. Conversely, because office and retail tenant demand was weak, developers held back on new construction of office and retail buildings.

EXHIBIT 6

Occupancy Rates by Major Property Sector: 1Q 2023 vs. Average of 1Q 2013 – 1Q 2023⁶

Given the strength in the fundamentals in apartments, industrial, and even retail, one may be able to discount the risks in these sectors as implied in the headlines. Occupancy rates for apartments, industrial, and retail buildings were near or above their trailing 10-year average at the end of 1Q 2023 per CoStar (*Exhibit 6*). Of

Unmasking the Office Sector

Working from home has unsurprisingly taken its toll on the office sector. And, more recently, some have suggested the risks in the office sector are concentrated in Class B and Class C assets versus Class A office assets. a recent article from CNBC:

“ ”

At the end of 1Q 2023, CoStar reported the office occupancy rate was 87.2%, or 2.1% lower than the long-term average

EXHIBIT 8



For example, tenant demand growth for Class A buildings has been higher, averaging 1.5% per year over the last 20 years, compared to all sectors combined, which grew 0.8% per year. The top-tier subset of Class A space that CoStar refers to as “Five Star” buildings and comprises 6% of office space in the U.S. has seen even greater tenant demand growth, averaging 4.1% over the last 20 years.

EXHIBIT 9

Office Market Size by Market in \$Billions vs. Current Occupancy and Variation in Occupancy¹¹

While the size of San Francisco office market is only 20% of the size of the New York office market, San Francisco's fundamentals are weaker than New York's. The current occupancy rate is only 82.7% and well below its 10-year average of 91.4% and 2.5

5. If we assume these loans had a 60% loan-to-value ratio at origination and assume a worst-case scenario that those buildings have depreciated to 50% of their value. By way of comparison, commercial office

